arXiv:math/0611379v1 [math.CV] 13 Nov 2006

# CARLESON MEASURES FOR WEIGHTED HARDY-SOBOLEV SPACES

CARME CASCANTE AND JOAQUIN M. ORTEGA

Abstract. We obtain characterizations of positive Borel measures $\mu$ on $\mathbf{B}^n$ so that some weighted Hardy-Sobolev are imbedded in $L^p(d\mu)$, where $w$ is an $A_p$ weight in the unit sphere of $\mathbf{C}^n$.

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is the study of the positive Borel measures $\mu$ on $\mathbf{S}^n$, the unit sphere in $\mathbf{C}^n$, for which the weighted Hardy-Sobolev space $H^p_s(w)$ is imbedded in $L^p(d\mu)$, that is, the Carleson measures for $H^p_s(w)$.

The weighted Hardy-Sobolev space $H^p_s(w)$, $0 < s, p < +\infty$, consists of those functions $f$ holomorphic in $\mathbf{B}^n$ such that if $f(z) = \sum_k f_k(z)$ is its homogeneous polynomial expansion, and $(I+R)^s f(z) = \sum_k (1+k)^s f_k(z)$, we have that

$$||f||_{H^p_s(w)} = \sup_{0<r<1} ||(I+R)^s f_r||_{L^p(w)} < +\infty,$$

where $f_r(\zeta) = f(r\zeta)$.

We will consider weights $w$ in $A_p$ classes in $\mathbf{S}^n$, $1 < p < +\infty$, that is, weights in $\mathbf{S}^n$ satisfying that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any nonisotropic ball $B \subset \mathbf{S}^n$, $B = B(\zeta, r) = \{\eta \in \mathbf{S}^n \,;\, |1 - \zeta\overline{\eta}| < r\}$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B w\,d\sigma\right)\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B w^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}d\sigma\right)^{p-1} \leq C,$$

where $\sigma$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{S}^n$ and $|B|$ the Lebesgue measure of $B$. We will use the notation $\zeta\overline{\eta}$ to indicate the complex inner product in $\mathbf{C}^n$ given by $\zeta\overline{\eta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i\overline{\eta_i}$, if $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$, $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$.

If $0 < s < n$, any function $f$ in $H^p_s(w)$ can be expressed as

$$f(z) = C_s(g)(z) := \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \frac{g(\zeta)}{(1 - z\overline{\zeta})^{n-s}}d\sigma(\zeta),$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A35, 46E35, 32A40.

*Key words and phrases.* Weighted Hardy-Sobolev spaces, holomorphic potentials, Carleson measures, weighted Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

Both authors partially supported by DGICYT Grant MTM2005-08984-C02-02, and DURSI Grant 2005SGR 00611 .

where $d\sigma$ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere $\mathbf{S}^n$ and $g \in L^p(w)$, and consequently, $\mu$ is Carleson for $H^p_s(w)$ if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$||C_s f||_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C||f||_{L^p(w)}.$$

We denote by $K_s$ the nonisotropic potential operator defined by

$$K_s[f](z) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \frac{f(\eta)}{|1 - z\overline{\eta}|^{n-s}} d\sigma(\eta), \ z \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n.$$

The problem of characterizing the positive Borel measures $\mu$ on $\mathbf{B}^n$ for which there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$||K_s[f]||_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C||f||_{L^p(d\sigma)}, \tag{1.1}$$

that is, the characterization of the Carleson measures for the space $K_s[L^p(d\sigma)]$ has been very well studied and there exist different characterizations (see for instance [**Ma**], [**AdHe**] [**KeSa**]).

The representation of the functions in $H^p_s$ in terms of the operator $C_s$ gives that in dimension 1 the Carleson measures for $K_s[L^p(d\sigma)]$ coincide with the Carleson measures for the Hardy-Sobolev space $H^p_s$ simply because the real part of $\frac{1}{(1-z\bar{\zeta})^{1-s}}$ is equivalent to $\frac{1}{|1-z\bar{\zeta}|^{1-s}}$. This representation also shows that in any dimension every Carleson measure for $K_s[L^p(d\sigma)]$ is also a Carleson measure for $H^p_s$. The coincidence fails to be true for $n > 1$ in general, as it is shown in [**AhCo**] ( see also [**CaOr2**]).

Of course, when $n - sp < 0$, the space $H^p_s$ consists of continuous functions on $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$, and in particular, the Carleson measures in this case are just the finite measures. But for $n - sp \geq 0$, and $n > 1$, the characterization of the Carleson measures for $H^p_s$ still remains open. In the case where we are "near" the regular case, that is when $n - sp < 1$ it is shown in [**AhCo**], [**CohVe1**] and [**CohVe2**], that the Carleson measures for $H^p_s$ and $K_s[L^p(d\sigma)]$ are the same, and any of the different characterizations of the Carleson measures for the last ones also hold for $H^p_s$.

One of the main purposes of this paper is to extend this situation to $H^p_s(w)$ for $w$ a weight in $A_p$. If $E \subset \mathbf{S}^n$ is measurable, we define

$$W(E) = \int_E w d\sigma.$$

A weight $w$ satisfies a doubling condition of order $\tau$, if there exists $\tau > 0$ such that for any nonisotropic ball $B$ in $\mathbf{S}^n$, $W(2^k B) \leq C 2^{k\tau} W(B)$.

It is well known that any weight in $A_p$ satisfies a doubling condition of some order $\tau$ strictly less than $np$. We begin observing that if $\tau - sp < 0$, the space $H^p_s(w)$ consists of continuous functions on $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$, and consequently, the Carleson measures are just the finite ones. If $\tau - sp < 1$, we show that the Carleson measures for $H^p_s(w)$ and $K_s[L^p(w)]$ coincide, whereas if $\tau - sp \geq 1$, this coincidence may fail.

As it happens in the unweighted case (see [**CohVe1**]), the proof of the characterization of the Carleson measures for $H^p_s(w)$ will be based in the construction of weighted holomorphic potentials, with control of their $H^p_s(w)$-norm. In fact, technical reasons give that it is convenient to deal with weighted Triebel-Lizorkin spaces which, on the other hand, have interest on their own. In the second section we study these spaces. If $s \geq 0$, we will write $[s]^+$ the integer part of $s$ plus 1. Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$, and $s \geq 0$. The weighted holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin space $HF_s^{pq}(w)$ when $q < +\infty$ is the space of holomorphic functions $f$ in $\mathbf{B}^n$ for which

$$||f||_{HF_s^{pq}(w)} =$$

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\int_0^1 |((I+R)^{[s]^+}f)(r\zeta)|^q(1-r^2)^{([s]^+-s)q-1}dr\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty,$$

whereas when $q = +\infty$,

$$||f||_{HF_s^{p\infty}(w)} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\sup_{0<r<1}|((I+R)^{[s]^+}f)(r\zeta)|(1-r^2)^{[s]^+-s}\right)^p w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty,$$

where $I$ denotes the identity operator.

The Section 2 is devoted to the general theory of weighted holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. We give different equivalent definitions of the spaces $HF_s^{p,q}(w)$ in terms of admissible area functions, we give duality theorems on these spaces, we study some relations of inclusion among them and we also obtain that when $q = 2$, the weighted Triebel-Lizorkin space $HF_s^{p2}(w)$ coincides with the weighted Hardy-Sobolev space $H_s^p(w)$.

The main result in Section 3 is the characterization of the Carleson measures for $H_s^p(w)$, when $0 < \tau - sp < 1$, in terms of a positive kernel.

**Theorem C.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $w$ an $A_p$-weight, and $\mu$ a finite positive Borel measure on $\mathbf{B}^n$. Assume that $w$ is doubling of order $\tau$, for some $\tau < 1 + sp$. We then have that the following statements are equivalent:*

*(i)* $||K_s(f)||_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C||f||_{L^p(w)}$.

*(ii)* $||f||_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C||f||_{H_s^p(w)}$.

The proof relies on the construction of weighted holomorphic potentials, with control of their weighted Hardy-Sobolev norm.

We also gives examples of the sharpness of the above theorem. We show that if $p = 2$ and $\tau > 1 + sp$, $n < \tau < n + 1$, then there exists $w$ in $A_2 \cap D_\tau$ and a measure $\mu$ on $\mathbf{S}^n$ which is Carleson for $H_s^2(w)$, but it is not Carleson for $K_s[L^2(w)]$.

Finally, the usual remark on notation: we will adopt the convention of using the same letter for various absolute constants whose values may change in each occurrence, and we will write $A \preceq B$ if there exists an absolute constant $M$ such that $A \leq MB$. We will say that two quantities $A$ and $B$ are equivalent if both $A \preceq B$ and $B \preceq A$, and, in that case, we will write $A \simeq B$.

## 2. Weighted holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces

In this section we will introduce weighted holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, and we will obtain characterizations in terms of Littlewood-Paley functions and admissible area functions. These characterizations, known in the unweighted case, will be used in the following sections.

We begin recalling some simple facts about $A_p$ weights that we will need later. It is well known that $A_\infty = \bigcup_{1<p<+\infty} A_p$ and that any $A_p$ weight satisfies a doubling condition. We recall that a weight $w$ satisfies a doubling condition of order $\tau$, $\tau > 0$, if there exists $C > 0$, such that for any nonisotropic ball $B \subset \mathbf{S}^n$, and any $k \geq 0$, $W(2^k B) \leq C 2^{\tau k} W(B)$. We will say that this weight $w$ is in $D_\tau$. In fact, if $w \in A_p$, there exists $p_1 < p$ such that $w$ is also in $A_{p_1}$, and consequently we have that $w \in D_\tau$ for $\tau = np_1 < np$, (see [**StrTo**]).

Examples of $A_p$ weights can be obtained as follows: if $\zeta = (\zeta', \zeta_n)$, and $w(\zeta) = (1 - |\zeta'|^2)^\varepsilon$, we then have that $w \in A_p$ if $-1 < \varepsilon < p - 1$. We also have that for this weight, $w \in D_\tau$, $\tau = n + \varepsilon$.

The following lemma gives the natural relationships between the spaces $L^p(w)$, $w \in A_p$, and the Lebesgue spaces $L^q(d\sigma)$.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, and $w$ be an $A_p$-weight. We then have:*
*(i) There exists $1 < p_1 < p$ such that $L^p(w) \subset L^{p_1}(d\sigma)$.*
*(ii) There exists $p_2 > p$ such that $L^{p_2}(d\sigma) \subset L^p(w)$.*

We now proceed to study the weighted holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $H^{pq}_s(w)$ already defined in the introduction. We begin with some definitions. If $1 < q \leq +\infty$, $k$ an integer such that $k > s \geq 0$, and $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$, the Littlewood-Paley type functions are given by

$$A_{1,k,q,s}(f)(\zeta) = \left( \int_0^1 |(I+R)^k f(r\zeta)|^q (1-r^2)^{(k-s)q-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

when $q < +\infty$, and

$$A_{1,k,\infty,s}(f)(\zeta) = \sup_{0<r<1} |(I+R)^k f(r\zeta)| (1-r^2)^{k-s},$$

when $q = +\infty$.

If $\alpha > 1$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$, we denote by $D_\alpha(\zeta)$, $\alpha > 1$ the admissible region given by $D_\alpha(\zeta) = \{ z \in \mathbf{B}^n \, ; \, |1 - z\overline{\zeta}| < \alpha(1 - |z|) \}$. We introduce the admissible area function

$$A_{\alpha,k,q,s}(f)(\zeta) = \left( \int_{D_\alpha(\zeta)} |(I+R)^k f(z)|^q (1-|z|^2)^{(k-s)q-n-1} dv(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

when $q < +\infty$, where $dv$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{B}^n$, and in case $q = +\infty$,

$$A_{\alpha,k,\infty,s}(f)(\zeta) = \sup_{z \in D_\alpha(\zeta)} |(I+R)^k f(z)| (1-|z|^2)^{k-s},$$

when $q = +\infty$.

Our first goal is to obtain that if $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 < q < +\infty$ and $w$ is an $A_p$ weight, then an holomorphic function is in $HF^{p,q}_s(w)$ if and only if $A_{\alpha,k,q,s}(f) \in L^p(w)$, for some (and then for all) $\alpha \geq 1$ and $k > s$. We will follow the ideas in [**OF**]. For the sake of completeness, we will sketch the modifications needed to obtain the weighted case.

If $1<p<+\infty$, $1<q\le+\infty$ we denote by $L^p(w)(L^q_1)=L^p(w)(L^q(\frac{2nr^{2n-1}}{1-r^2}dr))$ the mixed-norm space of measurable functions $f$ in $\mathbf{S}^n\times[0,1]$ such that

$$||f||_{p,q,w}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\int_0^1|f(r\zeta)|^q\frac{2nr^{2n-1}}{1-r^2}dr\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<+\infty.$$

Also if $\alpha>1$, and $E_\alpha(z)=\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\chi_{D_\alpha(\zeta)}(z)d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{-1}\simeq(1-|z|^2)^{-n}$, we denote by $L^p(w)(L^q_\alpha)$ the mixed-norm space of measurable functions $f$ defined in $\mathbf{S}^n\times\mathbf{B}^n$ such that

$$||f||_{\alpha,p,q,w}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\int_{\mathbf{B}^n}|f(z,\zeta)|^q\frac{E_\alpha(z)}{(1-|z|^2)}dv(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<+\infty.$$

We denote by $F^{\alpha,p,q}(w)$ the space of measurable functions on $\mathbf{B}^n$ such that

$$J_\alpha f(\zeta,z)=\chi_{D_\alpha(\zeta)}(z)f(z)$$

is in $L^p(w)(L^q_\alpha)$, normed with the norm induced by $||\cdot||_{\alpha,p,q,w}$. We also introduce the space $F^{1,p,q}(w)$ of measurable functions on $\mathbf{B}^n$ such that $J_1f(\zeta,r)=f(r\zeta)$ is in $L^p(w)(L^q_1)$.

The representation of the dual of a mixed-norm space, see [**BeLo**], gives that if $1<p,q<+\infty$, the dual space of $L^p(w)(L^q_1)$ is $L^{p'}(w)(L^{q'}_1)$, $1/p+1/p'=1$, $1/q+1/q'=1$, and that if $f\in F^{1,p,q}(w)$, $g\in F^{1,p',q'}(w)$ the pairing is given by

$$(f,g)=\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\int_0^1 f(r\zeta)\overline{g(r\zeta)}\frac{2nr^{2n-1}}{1-r^2}dr\right)w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Analogously, the dual space of $L^p(w)(L^q_\alpha)$ is $L^{p'}(w)(L^{q'}_\alpha)$, and if $f\in F^{\alpha,p,q}(w)$, $g\in F^{\alpha,p',q'}(w)$ the pairing is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(f,g)_\alpha&=\int_{\mathbf{B}^n}\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}f(z)\overline{g(z)}\chi_{D_\alpha(\zeta)}(z)w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}}\\&=\int_{\mathbf{B}^n}f(z)\overline{g(z)}\frac{E^w_\alpha(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}}dv(z),\end{aligned}$$

where $E^w_\alpha(z)=\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\chi_{D_\alpha(\zeta)}(z)w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)$.

Observe that if we write $z_0=\frac{z}{|z|}$, the doubling property of $w$ gives that $E^w_\alpha(z)\simeq W(B(z_0,(1-|z|)))$. From now on we will write $B_z=B(z_0,(1-|z|))$.

We begin with two lemmas that are weighted versions of Lemmas 2.2. and 2.3 in [**OF**], and whose proofs we omit. We recall that if $\psi$ is a measurable function on $\mathbf{S}^n$, the weighted Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is given by

$$M^w_{HL}(\psi)(\zeta)=\sup_{B\ni\zeta}\frac{1}{W(B)}\int_B|\psi(\eta)|w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *There exist $C>0$, $N_0>0$ such that for any $z\in D_\alpha(\zeta)$, $N\ge N_0$,*

$$\frac{(1-|z|^2)^{n+N}}{W(B_z)}\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{|\psi(\eta)|}{|1-z\overline{\eta}|^{n+N}}w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\le CM^w_{HL}(\psi)(\zeta).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let $\alpha > 1$. There exists $C > 0$, such that for any $z \in D_\alpha(\zeta)$,*

$$\frac{1}{W(B_z)} \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \chi_{D_\alpha(\eta)}(z)|\psi(\eta)|w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta) \leq CM^w_{HL}(\psi)(\zeta).$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 \leq q \leq +\infty$, and $\alpha \geq 1$. Then the space $F^{\alpha,p,q}(w)$ is a retract of $L^p(w)(L^q_\alpha)$.*

**Proof of Theorem 2.4:**

The fact that $J_1$ is an isometry between $F^{1,p,q}(w)$ and $L^p(w)(L^q_1)$ gives the theorem for the case $\alpha = 1$.

If $\alpha > 1$, we introduce the averaging operator

$$A_\alpha(\varphi)(z) = \frac{1}{E^w_\alpha(z)} \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \chi_{D_\alpha(\eta)}(z)\varphi(\eta, z)w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta).$$

The definition of $E^w_\alpha(z)$ gives that $A_\alpha \circ J_\alpha$ is the identity operator on $F^{\alpha,p,q}(w)$. So, in order to finish the theorem, we need to show that $A_\alpha$ maps $L^p(w)(L^q_\alpha)$ to $F^{\alpha,p,q}(w)$. We consider first the case $1 \leq q \leq p < +\infty$. Let $m = \frac{p}{q} \geq 1$ and let $m'$ be the conjugate exponent of $m$. We then have by duality that

$$||A_\alpha(\varphi)||^q_{\alpha,p,q,w} = \sup_{||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)} \leq 1} |\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \int_{D_\alpha(\zeta)} |A_\alpha(\varphi)(z)|^q \frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}} \psi(\zeta)w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)|.$$

Now Hölder's inequality gives that

$$|A_\alpha(\varphi)(z)|^q \leq \frac{1}{E^w_\alpha(z)} \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} |\varphi(\eta, z)|^q \chi_{D_\alpha(\eta)}(z)w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta).$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} &||A_\alpha(\varphi)||^q_{\alpha,p,q,w} \\ &\preceq \sup_{||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{1}{E^w_\alpha(z)} \chi_{D_\alpha(\zeta)}(z) \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \chi_{D_\alpha(\eta)}(z)|\varphi(\eta, z)|^q w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta) \\ &\times \frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}} |\psi(\zeta)|w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\preceq \sup_{||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |\varphi(\eta, z)|^q \frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}} w(\eta)M^w_{HL}(\psi)(\eta)d\sigma(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

Next, Hölder's inequality with exponent $m = \frac{p}{q}$ gives that the above is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)} \leq 1} ||M^w_{HL}\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)} \left( \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |\varphi(\eta, z)|^q \frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta) \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ &\leq \sup_{||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)} \leq 1} ||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)} ||\varphi||^q_{\alpha,p,q,w}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that since $w$ is a doubling measure, the weighted Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is bounded from $L^{m'}(w)$ to $L^{m'}(w)$. That finishes the proof of the theorem when $q \leq p$.

So we are lead to deal with the case $1 < p < q \leq +\infty$, which can be easily obtained from the previous case using the duality in the mixed-norm spaces $L^p(w)(L^q_\alpha)$. $\square$

This result can be used as in the unweighted case to obtain a characterization of the dual spaces of the weighted spaces $F^{\alpha,p,q}(w)$.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 < q < +\infty$, $\alpha > 1$, and $w$ an $A_p$-weight. Then the dual of $F^{\alpha,p,q}(w)$ is $F^{\alpha,p',q'}(w)$ with the pairing given by $(f,g)_\alpha$.*

The following proposition will be needed in the proof of the main theorem in this section. If $N > 0$, $M > 0$, we consider the operators defined by

$$P^{N,M}f(y) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} f(z)\frac{(1-|z|^2)^N(1-|y|^2)^M}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+N+M}}dv(z), \ \ y \in \mathbf{B}^n.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 \leq q < +\infty$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$, and $w$ an $A_p$ weight. Then there exists $N_0 > 0$ such that for any $N \geq N_0$ and any $M > 0$, the operator $P^{N,M}$ is continuous from $F^{\alpha,p,q}(w)$ to $F^{\beta,p,q}(w)$.*

**Proof of Theorem 2.6:**

We begin with the case $\alpha, \beta > 1$. The case where $1 \leq q \leq p < +\infty$ can be deduced following the scheme of [**OF**], using Lemma 2.2.

In the case $1 < p < q < +\infty$ we apply duality in the mixed norm space and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}||P^{N,M}(f)||^q_{\beta,p,q,w} &= \sup_{||g||_{\beta,p'q',w}\leq 1} \left|\int_{\mathbf{B}^n} P^{N,M}(f)(y)\overline{g(y)}\frac{E^w_\beta(y)}{(1-|y|^2)^{n+1}}dv(y)\right| \\ &\leq \sup_{||g||_{\beta,p'q',w}\leq 1} (f, \widetilde{P}^{M-1,N+1}(g))_\alpha,\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\widetilde{P}^{R,S}(g)(z) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^R(1-|z|^2)^S g(y)}{|1-y\overline{z}|^{n+1+R+S}}\frac{E^w_\beta(y)}{(1-|y|^2)^n}\frac{(1-|z|^2)^n}{E^w_\alpha(z)}dv(y). \tag{2.2}$$

Observe that when $w \equiv 1$, then $\widetilde{P}^{M,N}(f) \simeq P^{M,N}(f)$. Here we are led to obtain that the operator $\widetilde{P}^{M-1,N+1}$ maps boundedly $F^{\beta,p',q'}$ to $F^{\alpha,p',q'}$, provided $p < q$. If we claim this proposition, we finish the proof of the theorem. Using (2.1), and applying Hölder's inequality,

$$||P^{N,M}(f)||^q_{\beta,p,q,w} = \sup_{||g||_{\alpha,p'q',w}\leq 1} (f, \widetilde{P}^{M-1,N-1}(g))_\alpha \leq$$

$$\sup_{||g||_{\alpha,p'q',w}\leq 1} ||f||_{\alpha,p,q,w}||\widetilde{P}^{M-1,N-1}(g)||_{\alpha,p',q',w} \leq C \sup ||f||_{\alpha,p,q,w}.$$

The cases $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$ are proved in a simmilar way.

To finish the theorem we will prove the claim. Changing the notation, it is enough to prove:

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let $1 < q < p < +\infty$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$, and $w$ an $A_p$ weight. We then have that there exists $N_0 > 0$ such that for any $N \geq N_0$ and any $M \geq 0$,*

*(i) $\widetilde{P}^{M,N}(1) < +\infty$.*

*(ii) The operator $\widetilde{P}^{M,N}$ is continuous from $F^{\alpha,p,q}(w)$ to $F^{\beta,p,q}(w)$.*

**Proof of Proposition 2.7:**

Let us begin with (i). From the definition of $E_\alpha^w(z)$ and Fubini's theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^M}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+M+N}} \frac{E_\alpha^w(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^n} dv(z)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \int_{D_\alpha(z)} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^M}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+M+N}} \frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^n} w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta) \preceq \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \frac{1}{|1-y\overline{\zeta}|^{n+N}} w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta),$$

where in last inequality we have used Lemma 2.7 in [**OF**] since $M > -1$.

Next, let $B_k = B(y_0, 2^k(1-|y|^2))$, $k \geq 0$, where $y_0 = \frac{y}{|y|}$. Since $w$ is doubling and $E_\alpha^w(y) \simeq W(B_0)$ give that $W(B_k) \leq C^k E_\alpha^w(y)$. Consequently

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \frac{1}{|1-y\overline{\zeta}|^{n+N}} w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)$$

$$\preceq \sum_k \int_{B_k} \frac{w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)}{(2^k(1-|y|^2))^{n+N}} \preceq \frac{E_\alpha^w(y)}{(1-|y|^2)^{n+N}} \sum_k \frac{C^k}{2^{k(n+N)}} \preceq \frac{E_\alpha^w(y)}{(1-|y|^2)^{n+N}},$$

if $N$ is chosen sufficiently large. That finishes the proof of (i).

Since $m = \frac{p}{q} > 1$, duality gives that

(2.3)

$$||\widetilde{P}^{M,N}(f)||^q_{\beta,p,q,w} = \sup_{||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \int_{D_\beta(\zeta)} |\widetilde{P}^{M,N} f(y)|^q \frac{dv(y)}{(1-|y|^2)^{n+1}} \overline{\psi(\zeta)} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \right|.$$

Next, Hölder's inequality shows that if $0 < \varepsilon < N$ then

$$|\widetilde{P}^{M,N}(f)(y)|^q \leq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |f(z)|^q \frac{(1-|z|^2)^M (1-|y|^2)^{N-\varepsilon}}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+M+N-\varepsilon}} \frac{E_\alpha^w(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^n} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^n}{E_\alpha^w(y)} dv(z)$$

$$\times \left( \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^M (1-|y|^2)^{N+\varepsilon\frac{q'}{q}}}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+M+N+\varepsilon\frac{q'}{q}}} \frac{E_\alpha^w(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^n} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^n}{E_\alpha^w(y)} dv(z) \right)^{\frac{q}{q'}}$$

$$\preceq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |f(z)|^q \frac{(1-|z|^2)^M (1-|y|^2)^{N-\varepsilon}}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}} \frac{E_\alpha^w(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^n} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^n}{E_\alpha^w(y)} dv(z),$$

where in last inequality we have used (i).

Consequently,

(2.4)

$$||\widetilde{P}^{M,N}(f)||^q_{\beta,p,q,w} \leq C \sup_{||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \int_{y \in D_\beta(\zeta)} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{|f(z)|^q (1-|z|^2)^M (1-|y|^2)^{N-\varepsilon}}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}} \right.$$

$$\left. \times \frac{E_\alpha^w(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^n} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^n}{E_\alpha^w(y)} dv(z) \frac{dv(y)}{(1-|y|^2)^{n+1}} \psi(\zeta) w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \right|$$

$$= C \sup_{||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \int_{D_\beta(\zeta)} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^{N+n-\varepsilon}}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}} \frac{dv(y)}{E_\alpha^w(y)(1-|y|^2)^{n+1}} \right.$$

$$\left. \times |f(z)|^q (1-|z|^2)^{M-n} E_\alpha^w(z) dv(z) \psi(\zeta) w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \right|.$$

Next, if $y \in D_\beta(\zeta)$, $E^w_\alpha(y) \simeq W(B_y) \simeq W(B(\zeta,(1-|y|^2))$, and $|1-z\overline{y}| \simeq (1-|y|^2)+|1-z\overline{\zeta}|$.

Assume first that $|1-z\overline{\zeta}| \leq 1$. Hence,

(2.5)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{D_\beta(\zeta)} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^{N+n-\varepsilon}}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}} \frac{dv(y)}{E^w_\alpha(y)(1-|y|^2)^{n+1}}\\
&\simeq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^{N-\varepsilon}}{((1-|y|^2)+|1-z\overline{\zeta}|)^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}} \chi_{D_\beta(\zeta)}(y) \frac{(1-|y|^2)^n}{W(B(\zeta,1-|y|^2))} \frac{dv(y)}{(1-|y|^2)^{n+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

which by integration in polar coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \frac{r^{2n-1}(1-r^2)^{N+n-\varepsilon}}{((1-r^2)+|1-z\overline{\zeta}|)^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}} \frac{dr}{(1-r^2)W(B(\zeta,C(1-r^2)))}\\
&\simeq \int_0^{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|} \frac{t^{N+n-\varepsilon-1}}{(t+|1-z\overline{\zeta}|)^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}} \frac{dt}{W(B(\zeta,t))}\\
&+ \int_{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|}^1 \frac{t^{N+n-\varepsilon-1}}{(t+|1-z\overline{\zeta}|)^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}} \frac{dt}{W(B(\zeta,t))} = I + II.
\end{aligned}$$

In $I$ we have that $(t+|1-z\overline{\zeta}|) \simeq |1-z\overline{\zeta}|$, and, since $w \in A_p$,

$$\frac{t^n}{W(B(\zeta,t))} \simeq \left( \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{B(\zeta,t)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{p-1}.$$

Thus we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\simeq \int_0^{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|} \frac{t^{N-\varepsilon-1}}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}} \left( \frac{1}{t^n} \int_{B(\zeta,t)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{p-1} dt\\
&\preceq \left( \int_{B(\zeta,|1-z\overline{\zeta}|)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{p-1} \frac{1}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}} \int_0^{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|} t^{N-\varepsilon-n(p'-1)-1} dt\\
&\preceq \frac{1}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{M+1}} \frac{1}{W(B(z_0,|1-z\overline{\zeta}|))},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $N > 0$ is chosen big enough, and that $w$ satisfies the $A_p$ condition.

In II, $(t+|1-z\overline{\zeta}|) \simeq t$, and since $M+1>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\simeq \int_{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|}^1 \frac{1}{t^{M+2}} \frac{dt}{W(B(\zeta,t))}\\
&\leq \int_{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|}^1 \frac{1}{t^{M+2}} \frac{dt}{W(B(\zeta,|1-z\overline{\zeta}|))} \preceq \frac{1}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{M+1}} \frac{1}{W(B(z_0,|1-z\overline{\zeta}|))}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|1-z\overline{\zeta}|>1$, then we have that $(1-r^2)+|1-z\overline{\zeta}|\simeq 1$. We return to (2.5) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^1 \frac{(1-r^2)^{N+n-\varepsilon-1}dr}{((1-r)^2+|1-z\overline{\zeta}|)^{n+1+N+M-\varepsilon}W(B(\zeta,1-r^2))}\\&\preceq \left(\int_{B(\zeta,1)} w^{-\frac{p'}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p'}}\int_0^1 t^{N-\varepsilon-n\frac{p}{p'}-1}dt \preceq \frac{1}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{M+1}}\frac{1}{W(B(z_0,|1-z\overline{\zeta}|))}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have in any case that (2.5) is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{M+1}}\frac{1}{W(B(z_0,|1-z\overline{\zeta}|))}.$$

In consequence, we return to (2.4) and we obtain

(2.6)

$$\begin{aligned}&||\widetilde{P}^{M,N}(f)||^q_{\beta,p,q,w}\\&\preceq \sup_{||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)}\le 1}\left|\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\int_{\mathbf{B}^n}|f(z)|^q\frac{(1-|z|^2)^{M-n}E^w_\alpha(z)}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{M+1}W(B(z_0,|1-z\overline{\zeta}|))}\psi(\zeta)dv(z)w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\right|\\&\preceq \sup_{||\psi||_{L^{m'}(w)}\le 1}\left|\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\int_{\mathbf{B}^n}|f(z)|^q(1-|z|^2)^{M-n}\chi_{D_\alpha(\eta)}(z)\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{\psi(\zeta)w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{M+1}W(B(z_0,|1-z\overline{\zeta}|))}\right.\\&\left. dv(z)w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\right|.\end{aligned}$$

Next, if $z\in D_\alpha(\eta)$, $B(\eta,|1-z\overline{\zeta}|)\subset B(z_0,C|1-z\overline{\zeta}|)$, and if $B_k=B(\eta,2^k(1-|z|^2))$, $k\ge 0$ and $\zeta\in B_{k+1}\setminus B_k$, $|1-z\overline{\zeta}|\simeq 2^k(1-|z|^2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{|\psi(\zeta)|w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{M+1}W(B(z_0,|1-z\overline{\zeta}|))}\\&\preceq \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{M+1}W(B(\eta,1-|z|^2))}\int_{B_0}|\psi(\zeta)|w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\\&+\sum_{k\ge 1}\frac{1}{2^{k(M+1)}(1-|z|^2)^{M+1}W(B(\eta,2^k(1-|z|^2)))}\int_{B_k}|\psi(\zeta)|w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\\&\preceq \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{M+1}}\sum_{k\ge 0}\frac{1}{2^{k(M+1)}}M^w_{HL}(\psi)(\eta)\preceq \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{M+1}}M^w_{HL}(\psi)(\eta).\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the above estimate in (2.6) and using Hölder's inequality with exponent $m=\frac{p}{q}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&||\widetilde{P}^{M,N}(f)||^q_{\beta,p,q,w}\\&\preceq \sup_{\psi\in L^{m'}(w)}\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\int_{\mathbf{B}^n}|f(z)|^q\frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}}\chi_{D_\alpha(\eta)}(z)dv(z)M^w_{HL}(\psi)(\eta)w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\\&\preceq \sup_{\psi\in L^{m'}(w)}||f||^q_{\alpha,p,q,w}||M^w_{HL}(\psi)||^q_{L^{m'}(w)}\preceq ||f||^q_{\alpha,p,q,w}.\quad\square\end{aligned}$$

We deduce from the previous theorem the following characterization of the weighted holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. If $f \in H(\mathbf{B}^n)$, $s, t > 0$, let

$$L^t_s f(z) = (1-|z|^2)^{t-s}(I+R)^t f(z).$$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let* $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 < q < +\infty$, $t > s \geq 0$ *and* $\alpha \geq 1$. *Let*

$$HF^{\alpha,\,t,\,p,q}_s(w) = \{f \in H(\mathbf{B}^n);\ ||L^t_s f||_{\alpha,p,q} < +\infty\}.$$

*Then* $HF^{\alpha,\,t,\,p,q}_s(w) = HF^{pq}_s(w)$.

**Proof of theorem 2.8:**

If $s < t_0 < t_1$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$, we just need to check that $HF^{\alpha,\,t_0,\,p,q}_s(w) = HF^{\beta,\,t_1,\,p,q}_s(w)$. Any holomorphic function $f$ on $\mathbf{B}^n$ satisfying that $L^t_s f(z) \in F^{\alpha,p,q}(w)$ is in $A^{-\infty}(\mathbf{B}^n)$, the space of holomorphic functions in $\mathbf{B}^n$ for which there exists $k > 0$ such that $\sup_z (1-|z|^2)^k |f(z)| < +\infty$. Consequently, $f$ and its derivatives have a representation formula via the reproducing kernel $c_N \frac{(1-|z|^2)^N}{(1-\overline{z}y)^{n+1+N}}$, for $N > 0$ sufficiently large and an adequate constant $c_N$. Once we have made this observation, we can reproduce the arguments in [**OF**] and obtain

$$(I+R)^{t_0} f(y) = C_N \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} (I+R)^{t_1} f(z)(I+R_y)^{t_0-t_1} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^N}{(1-y\overline{z})^{n+1+N}} dv(z).$$

Since for $m > 0$ we have that

$$(I+R)^{-m} g(y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{1}{r}\right)^{m-1} g(ry)dr, \tag{2.7}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ||L^{t_0}_s f||_{\alpha,p,q,w} &\preceq || \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |(I+R)^{t_1} f(z)| \frac{(1-|z|^2)^N (1-|y|^2)^{t_0-s}}{|1-\overline{z}y|^{n+1+N+t_0-t_1}} dv(z)||_{\alpha,p,q,w} \\ &= ||P^{N-t_1+s,t_0-s}(|L^{t_1}_s f|)||_{\alpha,p,q,w}, \end{aligned}$$

and we just have to apply Theorem 2.6 to finish the proof. □

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let* $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 < q < +\infty$, $w$ *an* $A_p$*-weight, and* $f$ *a holomorphic function. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

*(i)* $f$ *is in* $HF^{pq}_s(w)$.
*(ii)* $A_{\alpha,k,q,s}(f) \in L^p(w)$, *for some* $\alpha \geq 1$ *and* $k > s$.
*(iii)* $A_{\alpha,k,q,s}(f) \in L^p(w)$, *for all* $\alpha \geq 1$ *and* $k > s$.

Our next result studies some inclusion relationships between different weighted holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let* $1 < p < +\infty$, $1 \leq q_0 \leq q_1 \leq +\infty$, $s \geq 0$ *and let* $w$ *be an* $A_p$*-weight. We then have*

$$HF^{pq_0}_s(w) \subset HF^{pq_1}_s(w).$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.10:**

We begin with the case $q_1 = +\infty$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. If $L_s^k f(z) = (1-|z|^2)^{k-s}(I+R)^k f(z)$, the fact that $(I+R)^k f$ is holomorphic gives that

$$|L_s^k f(r\zeta)| \preceq \left( \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{n+1}} \int_{K(r\zeta, c(1-r^2))} |(I+R)^k f(z)|^{\varepsilon} dv(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} (1-r^2)^{k-s},$$

where for $y \in \mathbf{B}^n$ $K(y,t)$ is the nonisotropic ball in $\mathbf{B}^n$ given by

$$K(y,t) = \{ z \in \mathbf{B}^n \,;\, |\overline{z}(z-y)| + |\overline{y}(y-z)| < t \}.$$

In [**OF**] it is obtained that

$$|L_s^k f(r\zeta)| \preceq \left( M_{HL} \left( \int_0^1 |(I+R)^k f(t\eta)|^q (1-t^2)^{(k-s)q-1} dt \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} (\zeta) \right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}.$$

Thus

$$||f||^p_{HF_s^{p\infty}(w)} = \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \sup_{0<r<1} |L_s^k f(r\zeta)|^p w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)$$

$$\preceq \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \left( M_{HL} \left( \int_0^1 |(I+R)^k f(t\eta)|^q (1-t^2)^{(k-s)q-1} dt \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} (\zeta) \right)^{\frac{p}{\varepsilon}} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Since $\frac{p}{\varepsilon} > p$, and $w$ is an $A_p$-weight, $w$ is in $A_{\frac{p}{\varepsilon}}$, and in consequence the unweighted Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is a bounded map $L^{\frac{p}{\varepsilon}}(w)$ to itself. Hence the above is bounded by

$$C \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \left( \int_0^1 |(I+R)^k f(t\zeta)|^q (1-t^2)^{(k-s)q-1} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = C ||f||^p_{HF_s^{pq}(w)}.$$

In order to finish the theorem, we will prove that if $q_0 < q_1 < +\infty$, then

$$||f||_{HF_s^{pq_1}(w)} \leq ||f||^{\frac{q_0}{q_1}}_{HF_s^{pq_0}(w)} ||f||^{1-\frac{q_0}{q_1}}_{HF_s^{p\infty}(w)}.$$

Since

$$||f||^p_{HF_s^{pq_1}(w)} \leq \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \left( \sup_{0<r<1} |(I+R)^k f(r\zeta)| (1-r)^{k-s} \right)^{(q_1-q_0)p/q_1}$$

$$\times \left( \int_0^1 |(I+R)^k f(r\zeta)|^{q_0} (1-r^2)^{(k-s)q_0-1} dr \right)^{\frac{p}{q_1}} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta),$$

Hölder's inequality with exponent $q_1/q_0 > 1$, gives that the above is bounded by

$$C ||f||^{p\frac{q_0}{q_1}}_{HF_s^{pq_0}(w)} ||f||^{p(1-\frac{q_0}{q_1})}_{HF_s^{p\infty}(w)}. \quad \square$$

We now consider the weighted Hardy space $H^p(w)$, for $1 < p < +\infty$, and $w$ an $A_p$ weight. It is shown in [**Lu**] that $f \in H^p(w)$ if and only if $f = C[f^*]$, where $f^*(\zeta) = \lim_{r\to 1} f(r\zeta) \in L^p(w)$ is the radial limit, $C$ is the Cauchy-Szegö kernel. In addition, $f = P[f^*]$, where $P$ is the Poisson-Szegö kernel. It follows also that $||f||^p_{H^p(w)} \simeq ||f^*||_{L^p(w)}$.

It is immediate to deduce from this that $f \in H^p(w)$ if and only if for any $\alpha \geq 1$, $M_\alpha(f) \in L^p(w)$, where $M_\alpha$ is the $\alpha$-admissible maximal operator given by

$$M_\alpha(f)(\zeta) = \sup_{z \in D_\alpha(\zeta)} |f(z)|.$$

In addition $||f||_{H^p(w)} \simeq ||M_\alpha(f)||_{L^p(w)}$, with constant that depends on $\alpha$. Indeed, since $|f(r\zeta)| \leq M_\alpha(f)(\zeta)$, we have that $||f||_{H^p(w)} \leq ||M_\alpha(f)||_{L^p(w)}$. On the other hand, assume that $f \in H^p(w)$. Then $f = P[f^*]$, $f^* \in L^p(w)$ and since $M_\alpha(f) \leq CM_{HL}(f^*)$, (see for instance [**Ru**]), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} (M_\alpha(f)(\zeta))^p w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)\\ &\preceq \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} (M_{HL}(f^*)(\zeta))^p w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \preceq \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} |f^*(\zeta)|^p w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \preceq ||f||^p_{H^p(w)},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that since $w$ in an $A_p$-weight, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator maps $L^p(w)$ continuously to itself.

Our next result gives a proof for the weighted nonisotropic case of the fact that the spaces $H^p(w)$ can also be defined in terms of admissible area functions. Similar results, but using a different approach based on localized good-lambda inequalities, have been obtained in [**StrTo**] for weighted isotropic Hardy spaces in $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, and $w$ be an $A_p$-weight. Let $f$ be an holomorphic function on $\mathbf{B}^n$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

*(i) $f$ is in $H^p(w)$.*

*(ii) There exists $\alpha \geq 1$, $k > 0$, such that $A_{\alpha,k,2,0}(f) \in L^p(w)$.*

*(iii) For every $\alpha \geq 1$, and $k > 0$, $A_{\alpha,k,2,0}(f) \in L^p(w)$.*

*In addition, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in H^p(w)$,*

$$\frac{1}{C}||f||_{H^p(w)} \leq ||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)} \leq C||f||_{H^p(w)}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.11:**

We already know that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent, so we only have to check the equivalence of (i) and (ii) for the case $k = 1$. The proof of (i) implies (ii) is given in [**KaKo**], using the arguments of [**St2**]. For the proof of (ii) implies (i), we will follow some ideas of [**AhBrCa**].

Without loss of generality we may assume that $f(0) = 0$. Let us assume first that $f \in H(\overline{\mathbf{B}^n})$. Then $f = P[f^*]$ where $f^* \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{S}^n)$. We want to check that

$$||f^*||_{L^p(w)} \leq C||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)}.$$

We will use that the dual space of $L^p(w)$ can be identified with $L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})$ if the duality is given by

$$< f, g >= \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} f(\zeta)\overline{g(\zeta)} d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Hence,

$$||f^*||_{L^p(w)} = \sup\{| \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} f^*(\zeta) g^*(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)|,\ g^* \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{S}^n),\ ||g^*||_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})} \leq 1\}.$$

If $g = P[g^*]$, we have (see [**AhBrCa**] page 131)

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{n\pi^n}{(n-1)!}\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} f^*(\zeta)g^*(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= n^2\int_{\mathbf{B}^n} f(z)g(z)dv(z) + \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} (\nabla_{\mathbf{B}^n} f(z), \nabla_{\mathbf{B}^n} g(z))_{\mathbf{B}^n}\frac{dv(z)}{1-|z|^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $\nabla_{\mathbf{B}^n}$ is the gradient in the Bergman metric (see for instance [**St2**]), and

$$(F(z), G(z))_{\mathbf{B}^n} = (1-|z|^2)(\sum_{i,j}(\delta_{i,j} - z_i\overline{z_j})F_i(z)\overline{G}_j(z)).$$

We then have (see [**St2**]) that since $F$ is holomorphic

$$\begin{aligned} ||\nabla_{\mathbf{B}^n} F(z)||^2_{\mathbf{B}^n} &= (\nabla_{\mathbf{B}^n} F(z), \nabla_{\mathbf{B}^n} F(z))_{\mathbf{B}^n} \\ &\simeq (1-|z|^2)\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n |\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}F(z)|^2 - |\sum_{i=1}^n z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}F(z)|^2\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $\int_{\mathbf{B}^n} f(z)g(z)dv(z)$ we will need to obtain estimates of the values of the functions $f, g$ on compact subsets of $\mathbf{B}^n$ in terms of the norms $||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)}$ and $||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(g)||_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})}$ respectively.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$ and $w$ an $A_p$-weight. There exists $C > 0$ such that for any holomorphic function $f$ in $\mathbf{B}^n$, and any $z = r\zeta$*

$$|f(z)| \preceq \left(|f(0)| + \int_0^r \frac{dt}{W(B(\zeta, 1-t^2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-t^2)}||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||^p_{L^p(w)}\right).$$

*In particular, if $K \subset \mathbf{B}^n$ is compact and*

$$||f||_K = \sup_{z\in K}|f(z)|,$$

*then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on $w$, $p$ and $K$ such that $||f||_K \leq C\left(|f(0)| + ||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)}\right)$.*

**Proof of Lemma 2.12:**

Since $f$ is holomorphic, we obtain that if $z = r\zeta \in \mathbf{B}^n$, there exist $C_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, such that for any $\eta \in B(\zeta, C_1(1-r^2))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla f(z)|^2 &\preceq \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}}\int_{K(z,C_2(1-|z|^2))} |\nabla f(y)|^2 dv(y) \\ &\preceq \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^2}\int_{K(z,C_2(1-|z|^2))}(1-|y|^2)^{1-n}|\nabla f(y)|^2 dv(y) \leq \frac{C}{(1-|z|^2)^2}(A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)(\eta))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\left((1-|z|^2)|\nabla f(z)|\right)^p \preceq (A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)(\eta))^p.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left((1-|z|^2)|\nabla f(z)|\right)^p W(B(\zeta, 1-r^2)) \preceq \int_{B(\zeta,1-r^2)}(A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)(\eta))^p w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta) \preceq \\ &||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||^p_{L^p(w)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $0 < r < 1$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$,

$$|\frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(r\zeta)| \preceq \frac{1}{W(B(\zeta, 1-r^2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-r^2)}||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)},$$

and integrating, we finally obtain

$$|f(r\zeta)| \preceq \left(|f(0)| + \int_0^r \frac{dt}{W(B(\zeta, 1-t^2))^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-t^2)}||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)}\right).$$

For the remaining affirmation, let $K \subset \mathbf{B}^n$ be compact. Then there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that for any $z = r\zeta \in K$, $r \leq 1-\delta$, and

$$|f(z)| \preceq \left(|f(0)| + \frac{1}{W(B(\zeta, \delta))^{\frac{1}{p}}\delta}||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)}\right).$$

Since $w$ is doubling, and there exists $N > 0$ (not depending on $\zeta$) such that $\mathbf{S}^n \subset B(\zeta, cN\delta))$, $W(\mathbf{S}^n) \preceq W(B(\zeta, \delta))$, and consequently

$$||f||_K \preceq |f(0)| + ||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)}. \quad \square$$

Going back to the proof of the Theorem 2.11, let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. The above lemma together with the fact that if $w$ is an $A_p$ weight, then $w^{-(p'-1)}$ is an $A_{p'}$-weight, give by (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} f^*(\zeta)g^*(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)| \\
&\preceq ||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)}||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(g)||_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})} + |\int_{1-\varepsilon\leq|z|<1} f(z)g(z)dv(z)| \\
&+ \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} ||\nabla_{\mathbf{B}^n} f(z)||_{\mathbf{B}^n}||\nabla_{\mathbf{B}^n} g(z)||_{\mathbf{B}^n}\frac{dv(z)}{1-|z|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate the second integral, we use polar coordinates, and obtain

$$|\int_{1-\varepsilon\leq|z|<1} f(z)g(z)dv(z)|,$$

which by Hölder's inequality is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} |f(r\zeta)||g(r\zeta)|d\sigma(\zeta)dr \\
&\preceq \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 ||f_r||_{L^p(w)}||g_r||_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})}dr \preceq \varepsilon||f||_{H^p(w)}||g||_{H^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})} \\
&\preceq \varepsilon||f^*||_{L^p(w)}||g^*||_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the third integral, we use (5.1) of [**CoiMeSt**] to estimate it by

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)(\zeta)A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(g)(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta) \preceq ||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)}||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(g)||_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})}.$$

Since we already know (see [**KaKo**]) that $||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(g)||_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})} \preceq ||g^*||_{L^{p'}(w^{-(p'-1)})}$, we finally obtain

$$||f^*||_{L^p(w)} \preceq ||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)} + \varepsilon ||f^*||_{L^p(w)},$$

which gives the result for $f \in H(\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n)$.

So we are left to show that the estimate we have already obtained holds for a general holomorphic function in $\mathbf{B}^n$. If $f$ is an holomorphic function on $\mathbf{B}^n$ such that $||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)} < +\infty$, let $f_r(z) = f(rz) \in H(\overline{\mathbf{B}^n})$, for $0 < r < 1$. We then have that

$$||f_r||_{H^p(w)} \preceq ||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f_r)||_{L^p(w)} \tag{2.9}$$

Let us check first that

$$\sup_r ||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f_r)||_{L^p(w)} \leq C||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)}.$$

Notice that

$$||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f_r)||^p_{L^p(w)} = ||J_\alpha((1-|\cdot|^2)(I+R)f_r)||_{L^p(w)(L^2(\frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|2)^{n+1}}))}.$$

We will check that there exists $0 \leq G(\zeta, z) \in L^p(w)(L^2(\frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}}))$ such that for any $0 < r < 1$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$, $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, $J_\alpha((1-|\cdot|^2)(I+R)f_r)(\zeta, z) \leq G(\zeta, z)$, and $||G||_{L^p(w)(L^2(\frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}}))} \preceq ||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)}$.

Let us obtain such a function $G$. Since by hypothesis $A_{\alpha,1,2,0}f \in L^p(w)$, we have that the holomorphic function $f$ satisfies that $A_{\alpha,1,2,0}f \in L^1(d\sigma)$, and consequently that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, $|f(z)| \preceq \frac{1}{(1-|z|2)^n}$. Hence, the integral representation theorem gives that for $N > 0$ sufficiently large, and $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$,

$$(I+R)f(rz) = C\int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^N (I+R)f(y)}{(1-rz\overline{y})^{n+1+N}} dv(y).$$

Next, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $0 < r < 1$, $z, y \in \mathbf{B}^n$, $|1 - rz\overline{y}| \geq C|1 - z\overline{y}|$, and the above formula gives that

$$|(I+R)f(rz)| \preceq \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^N |(I+R)f(y)|}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+N}} dv(y).$$

Combining the above results we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_{D_\alpha(\zeta)}(z)(1-|z|^2)|(I+R)f(rz)| \\
&\preceq \chi_{D_\alpha(\zeta)}(z) \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^{N-1}(1-|z|^2)((1-|y|^2)|(I+R)f(y)|)}{|1-z\overline{y}|^{n+1+N}} dv(y) \\
&= C\chi_{D_\alpha(\zeta)}(z) P^{N-1,1}((1-|\cdot|^2)(I+R)f)(z) := G(z,\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.8 shows that provided $N$ is chosen sufficiently large, $P^{N-1,1}$ maps $F^{\alpha,p,2}(w)$ to itself, and in particular that

$$\begin{aligned}
||G||_{L^p(w)(L^2(\frac{dv(z)}{(1-|z|^2)^{n+1}}))} &= ||P^{N-1,1}((1-|\cdot|^2)(I+R)f)||_{\alpha,p,2,w} \\
&\preceq ||(1-|\cdot|^2)(I+R)f||_{\alpha,p,2,w} = C||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)} < +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$||f_r||_{H^p(w)} \preceq ||A_{\alpha,1,2,0}(f)||_{L^p(w)},$$

and therefore $f \in H^p(w)$. $\square$

We will now remark on some facts about weighted Hardy-Sobolev spaces. Let us recall, that if $1 < p < +\infty$, $0 < s < n$, and $w$ is an $A_p$-weight, we denote by $H^p_s(w)$ the space of holomorphic functions $f$ on $\mathbf{B}^n$ satisfying that

$$||f||_{H^p_s(w)} = ||(I + R)^s f||_{H^p(w)} < +\infty.$$

The results obtained in the previous theorems give alternative equivalent definitions of the spaces $H^p_s(w)$ in terms of admissible maximal or radial functions and admissible area functions.

On the other hand, when $w \equiv 1$, and $0 < s < n$, it is well known, see for instance [**CaOr1**], that the space $H^p_s$ admits a representation in terms of a fractional Cauchy-type kernel $C_s$ defined by

$$C_s(z,\zeta) = \frac{1}{(1 - z\overline{\zeta})^{n-s}}.$$

The same lines of the proof of the unweighted case can be used to obtain a similar characterization in the weighted case. We just have to use that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded in $L^p(w)$, if $w$ is an $A_p$-weight and Lemma 2.1.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $0 < s < n$, and $w$ be an $A_p$-weight. We then have that the map*

$$C_s(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(1 - z\overline{\zeta})^{n-s}} d\sigma(\zeta),$$

*is a bounded map of $L^p(w)$ onto $H^p_s(w)$.*

## 3. HOLOMORPHIC POTENTIALS AND CARLESON MEASURES

In this section we will study Carleson measures for $H^p_s(w)$, $1 < p < +\infty$ and $0 < s < n$, that is, the positive finite Borel measures $\mu$ on $\mathbf{B}^n$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \le C\,\|f\|_{H^p_s(w)}, \qquad f \in L^p(w). \tag{3.1}$$

In what follows we will write

$$\fint_E w d\sigma = \frac{1}{|E|}\int_E w,$$

where $E$ is a measurable set in $\mathbf{S}^n$, $|E|$ denotes its Lebesgue measure.

By Theorem 2.13, this inequality can be rewritten as follows:

$$\|C_s(f)\|_{L^p(d\mu)} \le C\,\|f\|_{L^p(w)}, \qquad f \in L^p(w). \tag{3.2}$$

We recall that we have defined the non-isotropic potential of a positive Borel function $f$ on $\mathbf{S}^n$ by

$$K_s(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} K_s(z,\zeta) f(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \frac{f(\zeta)}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{n-s}} d\sigma(\zeta), \tag{3.3}$$

for $z \in \overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$.

Analogously to what happens for isotropic potentials (see [**Ad**]), in the nonisotropic case it can be proved that if $w$ is an $A_p$ weight and $\zeta_0 \in \mathbf{S}^n$ satisfies that

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \frac{1}{|1-\zeta_0\overline{\zeta}|^{(n-s)p'}} w^{-(p'-1)}(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta) < +\infty, \tag{3.4}$$

then for any $f \in L^p(w)$, $K_s(f)$ is continuous in $\zeta_0$. Observe that when $w \equiv 1$, (3.4) holds if and only if $n - sp < 0$. In the general weighted case, if $w$ satisfies a doubling condition of order $\tau$, and $\tau - sp < 0$, we also have that (3.4) holds, and consequently the Carleson measures in this case for weighted Hardy Sobolev spaces are just the finite ones. Indeed, assume that $\tau - sp < 0$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \frac{1}{|1-\zeta_0\overline{\zeta}|^{(n-s)p'}} w^{-(p'-1)}(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta) &= \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} w^{-(p'-1)}(\zeta) \int_{|1-\zeta_0\overline{\zeta}|<t} \frac{dt}{t^{(n-s)p'}} d\sigma(\zeta) \\ \leq \int_0^K \frac{\int_{B(\zeta_0,t)} w^{-(p'-1)}}{t^{(n-s)p'}} \simeq \int_0^K & \frac{t^n dt}{\left(\fint_{B(\zeta_0,t)} w\right)^{p'-1} t^{(n-s)p'}} \preceq \sum_k \frac{2^{-ksp'}}{W(B(\zeta_0, 2^{-k}))}. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $w$ satisfies condition $D_\tau$ gives that $W(\mathbf{S}^n) \preceq 2^{k\tau} W(B(\zeta_0, 2^{-k}))$, and consequently the above sum is bounded, up to constants, by

$$\sum_k 2^{k(\tau(p'-1)-sp'}.$$

Since $\tau - sp < 0$ we also have that $\tau(p'-1) - sp' < 0$, and we are done.

From now on we will assume that $\tau - sp \geq 0$.

The problem of characterizing the positive finite Borel measures $\mu$ on $\mathbf{B}^n$ for which the following inequality holds

$$||K_s(f)||_{L^p(d\mu)} \leq C\,||f||_{L^p(w)}, \tag{3.5}$$

has been thoroughly studied, and there are, among others, characterizations in terms of weighted nonisotropic Riesz capacities that are defined as follows: if $E \subset \mathbf{S}^n$, $1 < p < +\infty$ and $0 < s < n$,

$$C^w_{sp}(E) = \inf\{||f||^p_{L^p(w)}\,;\, f \geq 0,\, K_s(f) \geq 1 \text{ on } E\}.$$

It is well known, that when $w \equiv 1$, $C_{sp}(B(\zeta, r)) \simeq r^{n-sp}$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$, $r < 1$. See [**Ad**] for expressions of weighted capacities of balls in $\mathbf{R}^n$.

As it happens in $\mathbf{R}^n$ (see [**Ad**]), we have that if $0 \leq n - sp$, (3.5) holds if and only if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any open set $G \subset \mathbf{S}^n$,

$$\mu(T(G)) \leq C C^w_{sp}(G). \tag{3.6}$$

Here $T(G)$ is the admissible tent over $G$, defined by

$$T(G) = T_\alpha(G) = \left(\bigcup_{\zeta\notin G} D_\alpha(\zeta)\right)^c.$$

The problem of characterizing the Carleson measures $\mu$ for the holomorphic case (3.2) is much more complicated, even in the nonweighted case. Since $|C_s(z,\zeta)| \leq$

$K_s(z,\zeta)$, it follows from Theorem 2.13, that (3.5) implies (3.2), and consequently that if condition (3.6) is satisfied, then $\mu$ is a Carleson measure for $H^p_s(w)$. Of course, when $n-s<1$ both problems are equivalent, even in the weighted case, simply because if $f\geq 0$, $|C_s(f)|\simeq K_s(f)$, but when $n>1$ (see [**Ah**] and [**CaOr2**]), condition (3.5) for the unweighted case is not, in general, equivalent to condition (3.2). Observe that when $n-sp\leq 0$, $H^p_s$ consists of regular functions, and consequently any finite measure is a Carleson measure for the holomorphic and the real case. It is proved in [**CohVe1**] that this equivalence still remains true if we are not too far from the regular case, namely, if $0\leq n-sp<1$ . The main purpose of this section is to obtain a result in this line for a wide class of $A_p$-weights.

In [**Ah**] it is also shown that if (3.2) holds for $w\equiv 1$, then the capacity condition on balls is satisfied, i.e. there exists $C>0$ such that $\mu(T(B(\zeta,r)))\leq Cr^{n-sp}$, for any $\zeta\in\mathbf{S}^n$ and any $0<r<1$. The following proposition obtains a necessary condition in this line for the weighted holomorphic trace inequality.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $1<p<+\infty$, $0<s<n$ . Let $\mu$ be a positive finite Borel measure on $\mathbf{B}^n$, and $w$ be an $A_p$-weight. Assume that there exists $C>0$ such that*

$$||f||_{L^p(d\mu)}\leq C||f||_{H^p_s(w)},$$

*for any $f\in H^p_s(w)$. We then have that there exists $C>0$ such that for any $\zeta\in\mathbf{S}^n$, $r>0$,*

$$\mu(T(B(\zeta,r))\leq C\frac{W(B(\zeta,r))}{r^{sp}}.$$

**Proof of Proposition 3.1:**

Let $\zeta\in\mathbf{S}^n$, $0<r<1$ be fixed. If $z\in\overline{\mathbf{B}}^n$, let

$$F(z)=\frac{1}{(1-(1-r)z\overline{\zeta})^N},$$

with $N>0$ to be chosen later. If $z\in T(B(\zeta,r))$, and $z_0=\frac{z}{|z|}$, $(1-|z|)\preceq r$ and $|1-z_0\overline{\zeta}|\preceq r$. Hence $|1-(1-r)z\overline{\zeta}|\preceq r$, and consequently,

$$\frac{\mu(T(B(\zeta,r)))}{r^{Np}}\leq C\int_{T(B(\zeta,r))}|F(z)|^p d\mu(z).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
||F||^p_{H^p_s(w)} &\leq C\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{1}{|1-(1-r)\eta\overline{\zeta}|^{(N+s)p}}w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\\
&=\int_{B(\zeta,r)}\frac{1}{|1-(1-r)\eta\overline{\zeta}|^{(N+s)p}}w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\\
&+\sum_{k\geq 1}\int_{B(\zeta,2^{k+1}r)\setminus B(\zeta,2^k r)}\frac{1}{|1-(1-r)\eta\overline{\zeta}|^{(N+s)p}}w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

If $k \geq 1$, and $\eta \in B(\zeta, 2^{k+1}r) \setminus B(\zeta, 2^k r)$, $|1-(1-r)\eta\overline{\zeta}| \simeq 2^k r$. This estimates together with the fact that $w$ is doubling, give that the above is bounded by

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{W(B(\zeta, 2^{k+1}r))}{(2^k r)^{(N+s)p}} \preceq \frac{W(B(\zeta, r))}{r^{(N+s)p}} \sum_{k\geq 0} \left( \frac{C}{2^{(N+s)p}} \right)^k ,$$

which gives the desired estimate, provided $N$ is chosen big enough. □

We observe that for some special weights besides the case $w \equiv 1$, the expression that appears in the above proposition $\frac{W(B(\zeta,r))}{r^{sp}}$ coincide with the weighted capacity of a ball (see [**Ad**]).

If $\nu$ is a positive Borel measure on $\mathbf{S}^n$, $1 < p < +\infty$, $0 < s < n$ and $w$ is an $A_p$-weight, it is introduced in [**Ad**] the $(s,p)$-energy of $\nu$ with weight $w$, which is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} (K_s(\nu)(\zeta))^{p'} w(\zeta)^{-(p'-1)} d\sigma(\zeta). \tag{3.7}$$

If we write $(K_s(\nu))^{p'} = (K_s(\nu))^{p'-1} K_s(\nu)$, Fubini's theorem gives that

$$\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \mathcal{U}^w_{sp}(\nu)(\zeta) d\nu(\zeta),$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}^w_{sp}(\zeta) = K_s(w^{-1} K_s(\nu))^{p'-1}(\zeta)$$

is the weighted nonlinear potential of the measure $\nu$. When $w \equiv 1$, Wolff's theorem (see [**HeWo**]) gives another representation of the energy, in terms of the so-called Wolff's potential.

In the general case, it is introduced in [**Ad**] a weighted Wolff-type potential of a measure $\nu$ as

$$\mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu)(\zeta) = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\nu(B(\zeta, 1-r))}{(1-r)^{n-sp}} \right)^{p'-1} \fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)} w^{-(p'-1)}(\eta) d\sigma(\eta) \frac{dr}{1-r}. \tag{3.8}$$

In the same paper, it is shown that provided $w$ is an $A_p$-weight, the following weighted Wolff-type theorem holds:

$$\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu) \simeq \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu)(\zeta) d\nu(\zeta). \tag{3.9}$$

In fact, we have the pointwise estimate $\mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu)(\zeta) \leq C \mathcal{U}^w_{sp}(\nu)(\zeta)$, and Wolff's theorem gives that the converse is true, provided we integrate with respect to $\nu$.

In [**Ad**] a weighted extremal theorem for the weighted Riesz capacities it is also shown, namely, if $G \subset \mathbf{S}^n$ is open, there exists a positive capacitary measure $\nu_G$ such that

(i) supp $\nu_G \subset G$.
(ii) $\nu_G(G) = C^w_{sp}(G) = \mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu_G)$.
(iii) $\mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu_G)(\zeta) \geq C$, for $C^w_{sp}$-a.e. $\zeta \in G$.
(iv) $\mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu_G)(\zeta) \leq C$, for any $\zeta \in$ supp $\nu_G$.

We now introduce two holomorphic weighted Wolff-type potentials, which generalize the ones defined in [**CohVe1**]. These potentials will be used in the proof of the characterization of the Carleson measures for $H^p_s(w)$, for the case $0 \le \tau - sp < 1$. Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $0 < s < \frac{n}{p}$, and $\nu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbf{S}^n$. For any $\lambda > 0$, and $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{U}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)(z) \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \left( \frac{\nu(B(\zeta, 1-r))}{(1-r)^{n-sp}} \right)^{p'-1} \frac{(1-r)^{\lambda - n}}{(1 - rz\bar{\zeta})^{\lambda}} \left( \fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right) d\sigma(\zeta) \frac{dr}{1-r}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{V}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)(z) \\ &= \int_0^1 \left( \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \frac{(1-r)^{\lambda + sp - n}}{(1 - rz\bar{\zeta})^{\lambda}} \left( \fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}} d\nu(\zeta) \right)^{p'-1} \frac{dr}{1-r}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Obviously, both potentials are holomorphic functions in the unit ball. We will see, that if $p \le 2$ the first one is bounded from below by the weighted Wolff-type potential we have just introduced, whereas if $p \ge 2$, the second one is bounded from below by the same potential.

In the unweighted case, [**CohVe1**] the proof of the estimates of the holomorphic potentials, rely on an extension of Wolff's theorem. This extension gives that if $1 < p < +\infty$, $s > 0$, $0 < q < +\infty$, and $\nu$ is a positive Borel measure on $\mathbf{S}^n$, then

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\nu(B(\zeta, t))}{t^{n-s}} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{p'}{q}} d\sigma(\zeta) \preceq \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu)(\zeta) d\nu(\zeta).$$

Observe that if the above estimate holds for one $q_0$, it also holds for any $q \ge q_0$. The case $q = 1$ is the integral estimate in Wolff's theorem, since we have that

$$\mathcal{E}_{sp}(\nu) \simeq \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{\nu(B(\zeta, t))}{t^{n-s}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{p'} d\sigma(\zeta).$$

The arguments in [**CohVe1**] can easily be used to show the following weighted version of the above theorem. We omit the details of the proof.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $w$ an $A_p$ weight, $s > 0$, $K > 0$, $0 < q < +\infty$, and $\nu$ be a positive Borel measure on $\mathbf{S}^n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \left( \int_0^K \left( \frac{\nu(B(\zeta, t))}{t^{n-s}} \left( \fint_{B(\zeta,t)} w^{-(p'-1)}(\eta) d\sigma(\eta) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{p'}{q}} w(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &\preceq \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu)(\zeta) d\nu(\zeta). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Before we obtain estimates of the $H^p_s(w)$-norm of the weighted holomorphic potentials already introduced, we will give a characterization for weights satisfying a doubling condition

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$ and $w$ be an $A_p$ weight on $\mathbf{S}^n$, and assume that $w \in D_\tau$, for some $\tau > 0$. We then have:*

*(i) For any $t \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfying that $t > \tau - n$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_r^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^t} \fint_{B(\zeta,x)} w \frac{dx}{x} \leq C \frac{1}{r^t} \fint_{B(\zeta,r)} w, \tag{3.13}$$

*$r < 1$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$.*

*(ii) For any $t \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfying that $t > \tau - n$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^r x^t \left( \fint_{B(\zeta,x)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{p-1} \frac{dx}{x} \leq C r^t \left( \fint_{B(\zeta,r)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{p-1}, \tag{3.14}$$

*$r < 1$, $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$.*

**Proof of Lemma 3.3:**

We begin with the proof of part (i). Let $t > \tau - n$. Then

$$\int_r^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^t} \fint_{B(\zeta,x)} w \frac{dx}{x} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{2^k r}^{2^{k+1} r} \frac{1}{x^t} \fint_{B(\zeta,x)} w \frac{dx}{x}$$

$$\preceq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{k(t+n)} r^{t+n}} W(B(\zeta, 2^{k+1} r)) \preceq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{k(t+n)} r^{t+n}} 2^{k\tau} W(B(\zeta, r)) = C \frac{1}{r^\delta} \fint_{B(\zeta,r)} w,$$

since $w$ is in $D_\tau$, and $t + n > \tau$.

Next we show that (ii) holds. If $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$ and $r > 0$, the fact that $w \in A_p$ gives that $\left( \fint_{B(\zeta,x)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{p-1} \simeq \left( \fint_{B(\zeta,x)} w \right)^{-1}$, and consequently,

$$\int_0^r x^t \left( \fint_{B(\zeta,x)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{p-1} \frac{dx}{x} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{2^{-k} r}^{2^{-k+1} r} x^t \left( \fint_{B(\zeta,x)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{p-1} \frac{dx}{x}$$

$$\preceq \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-kt} r^t \frac{1}{\fint_{B(\zeta, 2^{-k} r)} w} \preceq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{k(t+n)}} r^{t-n} 2^{k\tau} W(B(\zeta, r)) \simeq r^t \left( \fint_{B(\zeta,r)} w^{-(p'-1)} \right)^{p-1}.$$

$\square$

**Remark:** In fact, it can be proved that both conditions (i) and (ii) are in turn equivalent to the fact that the $A_p$ weight is in $D_\tau$.

We can now obtain the estimates on the weighted holomorphic potentials defined in (3.10) and (3.11).

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $0 < \alpha < n$, $w$ an $A_p$-weight. Assume that $w$ is in $D_\tau$ for some $0 \leq \tau - sp < 1$. We then have:*

1. *If $1 < p < 2$, there exists $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $C > 0$ such that for any finite positive Borel measure $\nu$ on $\mathbf{S}^n$ the following assertions hold:*
   - a) *For any $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^n$,*

     $$\lim_{\rho \to 1} \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{U}_{sp}^{w\lambda}(\nu)(\rho\eta) \geq C \mathcal{W}_{sp}^{w\lambda}(\nu)(\eta).$$

   - b) *$||\mathcal{U}_{sp}^{w\lambda}(\nu)||_{H_s^p(w)}^p \leq C \mathcal{E}_{sp}^w(\nu)$.*
2. *If $p \geq 2$, there exists $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $C > 0$ such that for any finite positive Borel measure $\nu$ on $\mathbf{S}^n$ the following assertions hold:*

a) *For any* $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^n$,

$$\lim_{\rho\to 1} \operatorname{Re}\, \mathcal{V}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)(\rho\eta) \geq C\mathcal{W}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)(\eta).$$

b) $||\mathcal{V}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)||^p_{H^p_s(w)} \leq C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu)$.

**Proof of Theorem 3.4:**

We will follow the scheme of [**CohVe1**] where it is proved for the unweighted case. The weights introduce new technical difficulties that require a careful use of the hypothesis $A_p$ and $D_\tau$ that we assume on the weight $w$. In order to make the proof easier to follow we sketch some of the arguments in [**CohVe1**], emphasizing the necessary changes we need to make in the weighted case.

Let us prove (1). We choose $\lambda$ such that $\tau - sp < \lambda < 1$ and define $\mathcal{U}^{w\lambda}_{sp}$ as in 3.10. Then $\tau - s < \frac{\lambda + s - \tau(2-p)}{p-1}$. Consequently there exists $t$ such that $\tau - s < t < \frac{\lambda+s-\tau(2-p)}{p-1}$. Observe that $t + s - n > \tau - n$ and $\frac{\lambda+s-t(p-1)}{2-p} - n > \tau - n$.

We begin now the proof of a). The fact that $\lambda < 1$ gives that if $\rho < 1$, $\eta \in \mathbf{S}^n$, and $C > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Re}\mathcal{U}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\rho\eta)\\ &\succeq \int_0^1 \int_{B(\eta,C(1-r))} \left(\frac{\nu(B(\zeta,1-r))}{(1-r)^{n-sp}}\right)^{p'-1} \frac{(1-r)^{\lambda-n}}{|1-r\rho\eta\overline{\zeta}|^\lambda} \left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)} w^{-(p'-1)}\right) d\sigma(\zeta)\frac{dr}{1-r}.\end{aligned}$$

If $C > 0$ has been chosen small enough, we have that for any $\zeta \in B(\eta, C(1-r))$, $B(\eta, C(1-r)) \subset B(\zeta, 1-r)$. In addition, $|1 - r\rho\eta\overline{\zeta}| \preceq |1 - r\rho|$. These estimates, together with the fact that $w^{-(p'-1)}$ satisfies a doubling condition, give that the above integral is bounded from below by

$$\begin{aligned}&C\int_0^1 \int_{B(\eta,C(1-r))} \left(\frac{\nu(B(\eta,C(1-r)))}{(1-r)^{n-sp}}\right)^{p'-1} \frac{(1-r)^{\lambda-n}}{|1-r\rho|^\lambda} \left(\fint_{B(\eta,1-r)} w^{-(p'-1)}\right) d\sigma(\zeta)\frac{dr}{1-r}\\ &\geq C\int_0^\rho \left(\frac{\nu(B(\eta,C(1-r)))}{(1-r)^{n-sp}}\right)^{p'-1} \frac{(1-r)^{\lambda}}{|1-r\rho|^\lambda} \left(\fint_{B(\eta,1-r)} w^{-(p'-1)}\right) \frac{dr}{1-r}\\ &\geq C\int_0^\rho \left(\frac{\nu(B(\eta,C(1-r)))}{(1-r)^{n-sp}}\right)^{p'-1} \left(\fint_{B(\eta,1-r)} w^{-(p'-1)}\right) \frac{dr}{1-r},\end{aligned}$$

where in last estimate we have used that since $r < \rho$, $1 - r\rho \simeq 1 - r$.

We have proved then

$$\int_0^\rho \left(\frac{\nu(B(\eta,C(1-r)))}{(1-r)^{n-sp}}\right)^{p'-1} \left(\fint_{B(\eta,1-r)} w^{-(p'-1)}\right) \frac{dr}{1-r} \leq C\operatorname{Re}\mathcal{U}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)(\rho\eta),$$

and letting $\rho \to 1$, we obtain a).

In order to obtain the norm estimate, lets us simply write $\mathcal{U}(z) = \mathcal{U}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)(z)$, and prove that for $k > s$,

$$||\mathcal{U}||^p_{HF^{p1}_s(w)} = |\mathcal{U}(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \left(\int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{k-s}|(I+R)^k\mathcal{U}(\rho\eta)|\frac{d\rho}{1-\rho}\right)^p w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta) \leq C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{k-s}|(I+R)^k\mathcal{U}(\rho\eta)|\frac{d\rho}{1-\rho}\\ &\preceq \int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{k-s}\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\frac{\nu(B(\zeta,1-r))}{(1-r)^{n-sp}}\right)^{p'-1}\times\\ &\frac{(1-r)^{\lambda-n}}{|1-\rho r\eta\overline{\zeta}|^{\lambda+k}}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)d\sigma(\zeta)\frac{dr}{1-r}\frac{d\rho}{1-\rho}\preceq \Upsilon(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Upsilon(\eta)=\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\frac{\nu(B(\zeta,1-r))}{(1-r)^{n-sp}}\right)^{p'-1}\frac{(1-r)^{\lambda-n}}{|1-r\eta\overline{\zeta}|^{\lambda+s}}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)d\sigma(\zeta)\frac{dr}{1-r}.$$

Observe that $|\mathcal{U}(0)|^p\le C||\Upsilon||^p_{L^p(w)}$. Consequently, in order to finish the proof of the theorem, we just need to show that

$$||\Upsilon||^p_{L^p(w)}\le C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu). \tag{3.15}$$

Hölder's inequality with exponent $\frac{1}{p-1}>1$ gives that

$$\Upsilon(\eta)\le \Upsilon_1(\eta)^{p-1}\Upsilon_2(\eta)^{2-p}, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\Upsilon_1(\eta)=\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{\nu(B(\zeta,1-r))}{(1-r)^{n-s}}\frac{(1-r)^{t-n}}{|1-r\eta\overline{\zeta}|^t}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{p-1}d\sigma(\zeta)\frac{dr}{1-r},$$

and

$$\Upsilon_2(\eta)=\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\frac{\nu(B(\zeta,1-r))}{(1-r)^{n-s}}\right)^{p'}\frac{(1-r)^{\frac{\lambda+s-t(p-1)}{2-p}-n}}{|1-r\eta\overline{\zeta}|^{\frac{\lambda+s-t(p-1)}{2-p}}}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^p\frac{d\sigma(\zeta)dr}{1-r}.$$

We begin estimating the function $\Upsilon_1$. If $\zeta\in B(\tau,1-r)$, we have that $B(\zeta,1-r)\subset B(\tau,C(1-r))$, and since $w^{-(p'-1)}$ satisfies a doubling condition,

$$\Upsilon_1(\eta)\preceq\int_0^1(1-r)^{t-2n+s}\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\int_{B(\tau,C(1-r))}\frac{d\sigma(\zeta)}{|1-r\eta\overline{\zeta}|^t}\left(\fint_{B(\tau,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{p-1}\frac{d\nu(\tau)dr}{1-r}. \tag{3.17}$$

Next, we observe that if $\zeta\in B(\tau,C(1-r))$, $|1-r\eta\overline{\tau}|\preceq|1-r\eta\overline{\zeta}|$. Hence, the above is bounded by

$$C\int_0^1(1-r)^{t-n+s}\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{\left(\fint_{B(\tau,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{p-1}}{|1-r\eta\overline{\tau}|^t}d\nu(\tau)\frac{dr}{1-r}.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{\left(\fint_{B(\tau,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{p-1}}{|1-r\eta\overline{\tau}|^t}d\nu(\tau)\preceq\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\fint_{B(\tau,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{p-1}\int_{|1-r\eta\overline{\tau}|\le\delta}\frac{d\delta}{\delta^{t+1}}d\nu(\tau),$$

the above estimate, together with Fubini's theorem and the fact that $t-n+s>\tau-n$ give that $\Upsilon_1(\eta)$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &C\int_0^1\int_{B(\eta,\delta)}\delta^{t-n+s}\left(\fint_{B(\tau,\delta)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{p-1}d\nu(\tau)\frac{d\delta}{\delta^{t+1}}\\ &\preceq\int_0^1\left(\fint_{B(\eta,\delta)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{p-1}\frac{\nu(B(\eta,\delta))}{\delta^{n-s}}\frac{d\delta}{\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that if $\tau\in B(\eta,\delta)$, then $B(\tau,\delta)\subset B(\eta,C\delta)$, for some $C>0$ and that $w^{-(p'-1)}$ satisfies a doubling condition.

Applying Hölder's inequality with exponent $\frac{1}{(p-1)^2}>1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Upsilon\|_{L^p(w)}\\ &\preceq\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\int_0^1\left(\fint_{B(\eta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{p-1}\frac{\nu(B(\eta,\delta))}{\delta^{n-s}}\frac{d\delta}{\delta}\right)^{p'}wd\sigma\right)^{(p-1)^2}\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\Upsilon_2w\right)^{p(2-p)}. \end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

Theorem 3.2 with $q=1$ gives that the first factor on the right is bounded by $C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu)^{(p-1)^2}$.

Next we deal with the integral involving $\Upsilon_2$. We recall that $l=\frac{\lambda+s-t(p-1)}{2-p}-n>\tau-n$. Fubini's theorem gives that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\Upsilon_2w\\ &=\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\int_0^1\left(\frac{\nu(B(\zeta,1-r))}{(1-r)^{n-s}}\right)^{p'}(1-r)^l\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^p\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)}{|1-r\eta\overline{\zeta}|^{l+n}}\frac{d\sigma(\zeta)dr}{1-r}. \end{aligned}$$

But, as before, since $l>\tau-n$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)}{|1-r\eta\overline{\zeta}|^{l+n}}\leq\frac{C}{(1-r)^l}\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w.$$

The above, together with Fubini's theorem gives that

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\Upsilon_2w\preceq\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\fint_{B(\eta,1-r)}\left(\frac{\nu(B(\zeta,1-r))}{(1-r)^{n-s}}\right)^{p'}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^p d\sigma(\zeta)w(\eta)\frac{d\sigma(\eta)dr}{1-r}.$$

But if $\zeta\in B(\eta,1-r)$, $B(\zeta,1-r)\subset B(\eta,C(1-r))$, for some $C>0$, and in consequence the above is bounded by

$$C\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\int_0^1\left(\frac{\nu(B(\eta,C(1-r)))}{(1-r)^{n-s}}\right)^{p'}\left(\fint_{B(\eta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^p\frac{dr}{1-r}w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta).$$

The change of variables $C(1-r)=y-1$ gives that we can estimate the previous expression by

$$C\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\int_0^1\left(\frac{\nu(B(\eta,(1-y)))}{(1-y)^{n-s}}\right)^{p'}\left(\fint_{B(\eta,1-y)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^p\frac{dy}{1-y}w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)$$
$$+\nu(\mathbf{S}^n)^{p'}\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^p=I+II.$$

Theorem 3.2 gives that $II\preceq C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu)$, and Theorem 3.2 with $q=p'$ gives that $I\le C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu)$. Consequently, $\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\Upsilon_2 w\le C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu)$, and plugging this estimate in (3.18), we deduce that

$$||\Upsilon||^p_{L^p(w)}\preceq C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu)^{(p-1)^2}\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu)^{p(2-p)}\simeq\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu).$$

We now sketch the proof of part (2). We choose $\lambda>0$ such that $\tau-sp<\lambda<1$, and define $\mathcal{V}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)(z)$ as in (3.11). Let us simplify the notation and just write $\mathcal{V}(z)=\mathcal{V}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)(z)$. Let $\varepsilon\in\mathbf{R}$ such that $\tau<\varepsilon+n<\lambda+sp$.

The proof of a) is analogous to the one in case $1<p<2$.

For the proof of b), let us consider $k>s$. It will be enough to prove the following:

(3.19)
$$||\mathcal{V}||^p_{HF^{p1}_s(w)}$$
$$=|\mathcal{V}(0)|^p+\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\int_0^1(1-\rho)^{k-s}|(I+R)^k\mathcal{V}(\rho\zeta)|\frac{d\rho}{1-\rho}\right)^p w(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta)\le C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu).$$

Let us begin with the estimate $|\mathcal{V}(0)|^p\preceq\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu)$. If $p>2$, Hölder's inequality with exponent $\frac{1}{p'-1}>1$, gives that

$$|\mathcal{V}(0)|\le\left(\int_0^1\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}(1-r)^{\varepsilon}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}}d\nu(\zeta)\frac{dr}{1-r}\right)^{p'-1}\times$$
$$\left(\int_0^1\left((1-r)^{(p'-1)(\lambda+sp-n-\varepsilon)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p'}}\frac{dr}{1-r}\right)^{2-p'}\preceq\nu(\mathbf{S}^n)^{p'-1}\fint_{\mathbf{S}^n}w^{-(p'-1)}.$$

The case $p=2$ is proved similarly. Consequently, for any $p\ge 2$,

$$|\mathcal{V}(0)|^p\preceq\nu(\mathbf{S}^n)^{p'}\left(\fint_{\mathbf{S}^n}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^p\le C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu),$$

where the constant $C$ may depend on $w$.

Following with the estimate of $||\mathcal{V}||_{HF^{p1}_s(w)}$, we recall (for example see [**CohVe2**], Proposition 1.4) that if $k>0$, $0<\lambda<1$, and $z\in\mathbf{B}^n$,

$$|(I+R)^k\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{d\nu(\zeta)}{(1-z\overline{\zeta})^{\lambda}}\right)^{p'-1}|\le C\left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{d\nu(\zeta)}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{\lambda}}\right)^{p'-2}\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\frac{d\nu(\zeta)}{|1-z\overline{\zeta}|^{\lambda+k}}.$$

Plugging this estimate in (3.19) and using that $p'-2\le 0$, we get

$$|(I+R)^k\mathcal{V}(\rho\eta)|$$

$$\preceq\int_0^1\int_{1-r<\delta,1-\rho<\delta<3}\frac{(1-r)^{(p'-1)(\lambda+sp-n)}\left(\int_{B(\eta,\delta)}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}}d\nu(\zeta)\right)^{p'-1}}{\delta^{\lambda+k+1+(p'-2)\lambda}}\frac{d\delta dr}{1-r}.$$

Assume first that $p>2$. Fubini's theorem and Hölder's inequality with exponent $\frac{1}{p'-1}>1$, gives that the above is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{1-\rho}^3\left(\int_{1-r<\delta<3}(1-r)^\varepsilon\int_{B(\eta,\delta)}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}}d\nu(\zeta)\frac{dr}{1-r}\right)^{p'-1}\times\\ &\left(\int_{1-r<\delta<3}\left(\frac{(1-r)^{(\lambda+sp-n)(p'-1)-\varepsilon(p'-1)}}{\delta^{\lambda+k+1+(p'-2)\lambda}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p'}}\frac{dr}{1-r}\right)^{2-p'}d\delta.\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

Next, Fubini's theorem and the fact that $\varepsilon>\tau-n$ give that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{1-r<\delta}(1-r)^\varepsilon\int_{B(\eta,\delta)}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,1-r)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}}d\nu(\zeta)\frac{dr}{1-r}\\ &\preceq\int_{B(\eta,\delta)}\delta^\varepsilon\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,\delta)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}}d\nu(\zeta).\end{aligned}$$

We also have that since $\lambda+sp-n-\varepsilon>0$, (3.20) is bounded by

$$\int_{1-\rho}^3\left(\int_{B(\eta,\delta)}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,\delta)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}}d\nu(\zeta)\right)^{p'-1}\frac{d\delta}{\delta^{(n-sp)(p'-1)+k+1}}.$$

For the case $p=2$, we obtain the same estimate, applying directly condition (3.14) on (3.20).

Integrating with respect to $\rho$, and applying Fubini's theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^1(1-\rho)^{k-s}|(I+R)^k\mathcal{V}(\rho\eta)|\frac{d\rho}{1-\rho}\\ &\preceq\int_0^3\left(\int_{B(\eta,\delta)}\left(\fint_{B(\zeta,\delta)}w^{-(p'-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'-1}}d\nu(\zeta)\right)^{p'-1}\frac{d\delta}{\delta^{(n-s)(p'-1)+1}},\end{aligned}$$

since $(n-sp)(p'-1)+s=(n-s)(p'-1)$. If $\tau\in B(\zeta,\delta)$, and $\zeta\in B(\eta,\delta)$, we have that $\tau\in B(\eta,C\delta)$. The fact that $w^{-(p'-1)}$ satisfies a doubling condition, gives that the last integral is bounded by

$$C\int_0^3\left(\frac{\nu(B(\eta,\delta))}{\delta^{n-s}}\right)^{p'-1}\fint_{B(\eta,\delta)}w^{-(p'-1)}\frac{d\delta}{\delta}.$$

Applying Theorem 3.2 with exponent $q = p' - 1$, we finally obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\left(\int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{k-s}|(I+R)^k\mathcal{V}(\rho\eta)|\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)^p w(\eta)d\sigma(\eta) \preceq \int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu)(\zeta)d\nu(\zeta). \quad \Box$$

We can now state the characterization of the weighted Carleson measures.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $0 < n - sp < 1$, $w$ an $A_p$-weight, and $\mu$ a finite positive Borel measure on $\mathbf{B}^n$. Assume that $w$ is in $D_\tau$ for some $0 \le \tau - sp < 1$. We then have that the following statements are equivalent:*
*(i) $||K_\alpha(f)||_{L^p(d\mu)} \le C||f||_{L^p(w)}$.*
*(ii) $||f||_{L^p(d\mu)} \le C||f||_{H^p_s(w)}$.*

**Proof of Theorem 3.5:**
Let us show first that $(i) \implies (ii)$. Theorem 2.13 gives that condition (ii) can be rewritten as

$$||C_s(g)||_{L^p(d\mu)} \le C||g||_{L^p(w)}.$$

This fact together with the estimate $|C_s(f)| \le CK_s(|f|)$ finishes the proof of the implication.

Assume now that (ii) holds. Since a measure $\mu$ on $\mathbf{B}^n$ satisfies (i) if and only if (see (3.6)) there exists $C > 0$ such that for any open set $G \subset \mathbf{S}^n$, $\mu(T(G)) \le CC^w_{sp}(G)$, we will check that this estimate holds. Let $G \subset \mathbf{S}^n$ be an open set, and let $\nu$ be the extremal measure for $C^w_{sp}(G)$. We then have that $\mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu) \ge 1$ except on a set of $C^w_{sp}$-capacity zero, and $\int_{\mathbf{S}^n}\mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu)d\nu \le CC^w_{sp}(G)$. Let us check that the first estimate also holds for a.e. $x \in G$ (with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{S}^n$). Indeed, if $A \subset \mathbf{S}^n$ satisfies that $C^w_{sp}(A) = 0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $f \ge 0$ be a function such that $K_s(f) \ge 1$ on $A$ and $\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} f^p w \le \varepsilon$. Since $L^p(w) \subset L^{p_1}(d\sigma)$, for some $1 < p_1 < p$, (see Lemma 2.1) we then have $||f||_{L^{p_1}(d\sigma)} \le C||f||_{L^p(w)} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Thus $C_{sp_1}(A) = 0$, and in particular $|A| = 0$.

Following with the proof of the implication consider the holomorphic function on $\mathbf{B}^n$ defined by $F(z) = \mathcal{U}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)(z)$ if $1 < p < 2$, $F(z) = \mathcal{V}^{w\lambda}_{sp}(\nu)(z)$, if $p \ge 2$ where $\lambda$ is as in Theorem 3.4. Theorem 3.4 and the fact that $\nu$ is extremal give that

$$\lim_{r\to 1}\operatorname{Re} F(r\zeta) \ge C\mathcal{W}^w_{sp}(\nu)(\zeta) \ge C,$$

for a.e. $x \in G$ with respect to $C^w_{sp}$, and in consequence, for a.e. $x \in G$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on $G$. Hence, if $P$ is the Poisson-Szegö kernel

$$|F(z)| = |P[\lim_{r\to 1} F(r\cdot)](z)| \ge |P[\operatorname{Re}\lim_{r\to 1} F(r\cdot)](z)| \ge C,$$

for any $z \in T(G)$, and since we are assuming that (ii) holds, we obtain

$$\mu(T(E)) \le \int_{T(E)} |F(z)|^p d\mu(z) \le C||F||^p_{H^p_s(w)} \le C\mathcal{E}^w_{sp}(\nu) \le CC^w_{sp}(G). \quad \Box$$

We finish with an example which shows that, simmilarly to what happens if $w \equiv 1$, if $w \in D_\tau$ and $\tau - sp > 1$, then the equivalence between (i) and (ii) in the previous theorem need not to be true.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let $n \geq 1$, $p = 2$, and $\tau \geq 0$, $0 < s$ such that $\tau > 1 + 2s$. Assume also that $n < \tau < n + 1$. Then there exists $w \in A_2 \cap D_\tau$ and a positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbf{S}^n$ such that $\mu$ is a Carleson measure for $H^2_s(w)$, but it is not Carleson for $K_s[L^2(w)]$.*

**Proof of Proposition 3.6:**

If $\varepsilon = \tau - n$, and $\zeta = (\zeta', \zeta_n) \in \mathbf{S}^n$, we consider the weight on $\mathbf{S}^n$ defined by $w(\zeta) = (1 - |\zeta'|^2)^\varepsilon$. A calculation gives that $w(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^\varepsilon \in A_2$ if and only if $-1 < \varepsilon < 1$, which is our case. We also have that if $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}^n$, $R > 0$ and $j \geq 0$, then $W(B(\zeta, 2^j R)) \simeq 2^{j\tau} W(B(\zeta, R))$, i.e. $w \in D_\tau$.

Next, any function in $H^2_s(w)$ can be written as $\int_{\mathbf{S}^n} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(1-z\overline{\zeta})^{n-s}} d\sigma(\zeta)$, $f \in L^2(w)$. It is then immediate to check that the restriction to $B^{n-1}$ of any such function can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \frac{g(\zeta')(1 - |\zeta'|^2)^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}{(1 - z'\overline{\zeta'})^{n-s}} dv(\zeta'),$$

with $g \in L^2(dv)$. This last space coincides (see for instance [**Pe**]) with the Besov space $B^2_{s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(\mathbf{B}^{n-1}) = H^2_{s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(\mathbf{B}^{n-1})$.

Next, $n - 1 - (s - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})2 = \tau - 2s > 1$, and Proposition 3.1 in [**CaOr2**] gives that there exists a positive Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbf{B}^n$ which is Carleson for $H^2_{s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$, but it fails to be Carleson for the space $K_{s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}[L^2(d\sigma)]$. Thus the operator

$$f \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{n-1-(s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} d\sigma(\zeta),$$

is not bounded from $L^2(d\sigma)$ to $L^2(d\mu)$. Duality gives that the operator

$$g \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \frac{g(z)}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{n-1-(s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} d\mu(z)$$

is also not bounded from $L^2(d\mu)$ to $L^2(d\sigma)$. But if $g \geq 0$, $g \in L^2(d\mu)$, Fubini's theorem gives

$$\Big|\Big| \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \frac{g(z)}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{n-1-(s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} \Big|\Big|^2_{L^2(d\sigma)} = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \frac{g(z)}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{n-1-(s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} d\mu(z) \right)^2 d\sigma(\zeta)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \frac{g(z)}{|1 - z\overline{\zeta}|^{n-1-(s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} d\mu(z) \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \frac{g(w)}{|1 - w\overline{\zeta}|^{n-1-(s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} d\mu(w) d\sigma(\zeta) \simeq$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \frac{g(z)g(w)}{|1 - z\overline{w}|^{n-1-2(s-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} d\mu(z) d\mu(w),$$

where the last estimate holds since $n - 1 - 2(s - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = \tau - 2s > 0$. Consequently, we have that for the measure $\mu$, it does not hold that for any $g \in L^2(d\mu)$

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \frac{g(z)g(w)}{|1 - z\overline{w}|^{n-2(s-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})}} d\mu(z) d\mu(w) \leq C ||g||_{L^2(d\mu)}. \tag{3.21}$$

We next check that the failure of being a Carleson measure for $K_s[L^2(w)]$ can be also rewritten in the same terms. An argument similar to the previous one, gives that $\mu$ is not Carleson for $K_s[L^2(w)]$ if and only if the operator

$$f \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \frac{f(z)}{|1-y\overline{z}|^{n-s}} dv(z)$$

is not bounded from $L^2(wdv)$ to $L^2(d\mu)$. Equivalently, writing $f(z)=h(z)(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$, this last assertion holds if and only if the operator

$$f \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbf{B}^{n-1}} \frac{f(z)(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{-\varepsilon}{2}}}{|1-y\overline{z}|^{n-s}} dv(z)$$

is not bounded from $L^2(dv)$ to $L^2(d\mu)$. But an argument as before, using duality and Fubini's theorem, gives that the fact that of the unboundedness of the operator can be rewritten in terms of (3.21). □

## References

[**Ad**] D.R. Adams, *Weighted nonlinear potential theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **297** (1986), 73–94.

[**AdHe**] D.R. Adams and L.I. Hedberg, *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*, Springer-Verlag Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1996.

[**Ah**] P. Ahern, *Exceptional sets for holomorphic Sobolev functions*, Michigan Math. J. **35** , (1988), 29–41.

[**AhCo**] P. Ahern and W.S. Cohn, *Exceptional sets for Hardy-Sobolev spaces* , Indiana Math. J. **39** , (1989), 417–451.

[**AhBrCa**] P. Ahern, J. Bruna and C. Cascante, *$H^p$-theory for generalized $M$-harmonic functions in the unit ball* , Indiana Math. J. **45** , (1996), 103–135.

[**BeLo**] J. Berg and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces, an Introduction*, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1976.

[**CaOr1**] C. Cascante and J.M. Ortega, *Tangential-exceptional sets for Hardy-Sobolev spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **39**, (1995), 68–85.

[**CaOr2**] C. Cascante and J.M. Ortega, *Carleson measures on spaces of Hardy-Sobolev type*, Canadian J. Math. **47**, (1995), 1177–1200.

[**CohVe1**] W.S. Cohn, I.E. Verbitsky, *Trace inequalities for Hardy-Sobolev functions in the unit ball of* $\mathbf{C}^n$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43** , (1994), 1079–1097.

[**CohVe2**] W.S. Cohn, I.E. Verbitsky, *Non-linear potential theory on the ball, with applications to exceptional and boundary interpolation sets*, Michigan Math. J. **42** , (1995), 79–97.

[**CoiMeSt**] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E.M. Stein, *Some new function spaces and their applications to harmonic analysis*, Journal of Funct. Anal. **62** , (1985), 304–335.

[**HeWo**] L.I. Hedberg and Th. H. Wolff, *Thin sets in nonlinear potential theory*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **33**, (1983), 161–187.

[**KaKo**] H. Kang and H. Koo, *Two-weighted inequalities for the derivatives of holomorphic functions and Carleson measures on the ball*, Nagoya Math. J., **158**, (2000), 107–131.

[**KeSa**] R. Kerman and E.T. Sawyer, *The trace inequality and eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **36**, (1986), 207–228.

[**Lu**] D. H. Luecking, *Representation and duality in weighted spaces of analytic functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. **34**, (1985), 319–336.

[**Ma**] V. G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces*, Berlin: Springer, 1985.

[**OF**] J.M. Ortega and J. Fabrega, *Holomorphic Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces*, J. Funct. Analysis **151**, (1997), 177–212.

[**Pe**] M. M. Peloso, *Möbius invariant spaces on the unit ball*, Michigan Math. J. **39** (1992), 509–537.

[**Ru**] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of* $\mathbf{C}^n$, New York: Springer, 1980.

**[St2]** E.M.Stein, *Boundary behavior of holomorphic functions of several complex variables*, Princeton University Press, 1972.

**[StrTo]** J.-O. Strömberg and A. Torchinsky, *Weighted Hardy Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1381, Springer-Verlag 1989.

Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi, Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via 585, 08071 Barcelona, Spain
*E-mail address*: `cascante@ub.edu`

Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi, Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via 585, 08071 Barcelona, Spain
*E-mail address*: `ortega@ub.edu`